Shri Major Singh Tiwana, S/o Shri Sadhu Singh Village & PO Neelon Kalan, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

PSIC

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and, Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Machhiwara Sahib, District Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

Junior Engineer, O/o BDPO Mahhiwara Sahib District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and, Panchayat Officer (DDPO), District Ludhiana.

...Respondents

AC No.3603 of 2021

Present:

- (i) Shri Major Singh Tiwana, Appellant along with Sub Gurmeet Singh, in person.
- (ii) Shri Gurpreet Singh, Superintendent and Sandeep Singh, Jr. Engineer, O/o BDOP Machhiwara Sahib, Distt. Ludhiana, in person.
- (iii) Shri Raghbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Neelon Kalan, Block Machhiwara Sahib, District Ludhiana.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.07.2022 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Major Singh Tiwana along with Subedar Gurmeet Singh, comes present in person to attend the hearing. The appellant states that he was called to inspect the records, but he has not been shown the complete record and the complete information sought by him has not been supplied so far and that he is not satisfied with the information supplied.

3. Shri Gurpreet Singh, Superintendent O/o BDPO Machhiwara Sahib comes present in person and states that from the RTI application, it is not clear as to what exactly is the information required by the appellant. Shri Sandeep Singh, Junior Engineer O/o BDPO Machhiwara Sahib also comes present to attend the hearing in person and states the relevant MB was shown to the appellant and states that the appellant is

Cont...P-2

AC No.3603/2021

PSIC

Not satisfied as he wants copy of MB for the period 1998 to 2003. He further states that the MB relating to this period has not been traced in the official records. He also produces an affidavit to this effect before the Bench. He has also submitted reply to the show cause notice which is taken on record.

4. Shri Raghbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Neelon Kalan, Block Machhiwara Sahib comes present in person and states that the proceedings book containing the resolution passed by the Gram Panchayat for the period 1998 to 2003 as desired by the appellant is not available in the official records and produces before the Bench an affidavit to this effect.

5. The Bench, on perusal of the contents of affidavits filed by Shri Sandeep Singh, Junior Engineer and Shri Raghbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Neelon Kalan observes that the same are in order. However, as per insistence of the appellant, the Bench directs Shri Sandeep Singh, J.E. to file a fresh affidavit on non judicial stamp paper duly signed by him and attested by Notary Public stating that the appellant was called for inspection of records in AC No.3603 of 2021 and shown M.B. and the information as available in the records has been provided to him. It be further stated that the M.B. relating to the period 1998 to 2003 is not available in the official records. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct.

6. The Bench directs Shri Raghbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary/PIO, Gram Panchayat, Neelon Kalan, Block Machhiwara Sahib to file afresh affidavit on non judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.3603/2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that the Proceedings Book containing the resolution relating to the works as mentioned in RTI application for the period 1998 to 2003 is not available in the official records. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct.

7. With the aforesaid directions, **the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022

Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

i) Public Information Officer/Shri Sandeep Singh, Junior Engineer, and

ii) Shri Raghbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary O/o BDPO Mahhiwara Sahib. District Ludhiana.

-2-



Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, S/o Shri Bakhshish Singh Khattra, R/o Village Rohti Mouran, PO Vill.Rohta, Tehsil Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Patiala (Rural).

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer(DDPO) Patiala.

...Respondents

AC No.267/2022

(i)	Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, Appellant- in person.
(1)	

- (ii) Smt. Rupinder Kaur, BDOP Patiala (Rural), District Patiala, in person.
- (ii) Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran and Shri Jatinder Singh, previous Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran, Block Nabha, respondent, in person.
- (iv) Smt. Manpreet Kaur, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran, Block Patiala (Rural), District Patiala, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.07.2022 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat,Rohti Mouran, Block Patiala (Rural), District Patiala comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the appellant was called for inspection of records and he had also pointed out certain deficiencies. He further states that the information has been brought along after removing deficiencies as also the original record for perusal by the Bench and for handing over to the appellant.

4. The Bench directs the PIO Shri Sandeep Kumar and the appellant to sit in the adjacent court room and show the appellant the information and the relevant record brought along and then to report back to the Bench after the relevant record is shown to the appellant.



AC No.267/2022

5. After the meeting, Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran and the appellant appear before the Bench and state that the relevant record has been shown and the requisite information has also been handed over to the appellant. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant confirms the receipt of information to his satisfaction and also gives his consent to close the case.

-2-

6. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, S/o Shri Bakhshish Singh Khattra, R/o Village Rohti Mouran, PO Vill.Rohta, Tehsil Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Patiala (Rural).

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer(DDPO) Patiala.

...Respondents

AC No.268/2022

- (i) Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, Appellant- in person.
- (ii) Smt. Rupinder Kaur, BDOP Patiala (Rural), District Patiala, in person.
 - (ii) Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran and Shri Jatinder Singh, previous Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran, Block Nabha, respondent, in person.
 - (iv) Smt. Manpreet Kaur, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran, Block Patiala (Rural), District Patiala, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.07.2022 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Gurtej Singh Khattra, appellant comes present to attend

the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat,Rohti Mouran, Block Patiala (Rural), District Patiala comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the appellant was called for inspection of records and he had also pointed out certain deficiencies. He further states that the information has been brought along after removing deficiencies as also the original record for perusal by the Bench and for handing over to the appellant.

4. The Bench directs the PIO Shri Sandeep Kumar and the appellant to sit in the adjacent court room and show the appellant the information and the relevant record brought along and then to report back to the Bench after the relevant record is shown to the appellant.



AC No.268/2022

5. After the meeting, Shri Sandeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Rohti Mouran and the appellant appear before the Bench and state that the relevant record has been shown and the requisite information has also been handed over to the appellant. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant confirms the receipt of information to his satisfaction and also gives his consent to close the case.

-2-

6. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Jaspal Singh, S/o Shri Surjit Singh #3334, A/2, Street No.2, Adarsh Nagar, Roopnagar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police, Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Inspector General of Police, Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.1551 of 2022

PRESENT :(i)Shri Jaspal Singh, Appellant, in person.(ii)Shri Surinderpal Singh, DSP CAW, Ropar with ASI Naresh Kumar, RTI CellO/o SSP Fazilka, in person.

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.07.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous bearing

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he is not satisfied with the information supplied to him.

3. Shri Surinderpal Singh, DSP CAW, along with ASI Naresh Kumar, RTI Incharge O/o SSP Roopnagar comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. Shri Surinderpal Singh states that the complainant had made complaint on Helpline Phone No.112 in connection with a dispute with his nephew regarding division of plot of land. The said complaint was marked to Police Post, Bela, who has reported that the appellant has been given reply to his RTI application. ASI Naresh Kumar also submits copies of reply given to the appellant in this regard. He further states that as per report of Incharge, Police Post, Bela, a compromise had earlier been made between appellant and his brother

4. Shri Jaspal Singh, appellant states that he has not been supplied action taken report by the Police authorities on his complaint and states that the wall constructed by family of his brother still exists there and no action by the Police has been taken.





-2-

Appeal Case No.1551 of 2022

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as existing in official records in A No.1551/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. The information sought relates to a complaint of appellant received on Helpline line No.112, the Police party visited the spot and after looking in to the matter, the matter was resolved as a compromise had already been reached between the parties earlier. So far as the construction of wall by family of the brother of appellant, it does not constitute any information and is a civil matter for which the appellant has to approach appropriate Civil Court. The original affidavit be supplied to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. Since the Bench observes that adequate information has been supplied to the appellant, with the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Balwinder Singh #292, Panchayati Gali, Tibbri Road, Gurdaspur-143521.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Excise Officer, Civil Secretariat, Hoshiapur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o. Assistant Commissioner , Excise & Taxation, Hoshiarpur.

...Respondents

AC No.282/2022

(i) Appellant – absent.

(ii) Shri Shekhar, E.T.O. O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Hoshiarpur, on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 19.07.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Balwinder Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through cisco webex. He has, however, sent an e.mail dated 11.09.2022 wherein he has stated that partial information has been supplied vide letter dated 07.03.2022 and subsequently information has been sent vide letter dated 10.08.2022 despatched on 26.08.2022.

3. Shri Shekhar, ETO O/o Excise Officer, Hoshiarpur comes present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. He produces before the Bench reply dated 08.09.2022 to the show cause notice as also the letter dated 08.09.2022 stating that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letters dated 07.03.2022 and 10.08.2022. Both the letters are taken on record.

4. To ascertain the receipt of information, the Bench contacts the appellant on his mobile phone and is made to talk to the representative of PIO, who explains to the appellant the details of information supplied. Then, the Bench talks to the appellant. On the asking of the Bench, he admits receipt of information but states that the same has been delayed.



AC No.282/2022

5. On enquiring from the representative of PIO, he states that the delay has occurred in supply of information due to elections to the Punjab Vidhan Sabha as also the preparation of Excise Policy for the year 2022-23 by the Excise and Taxation Department and the same has been explained in reply to the show cause notice. The Bench, as per facts stated by the PIO in the reply to show cause notice, the same is dropped.

-2-

6. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. **The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Verma Nand S/o Sh. Tarsem Chand R/o Ward No.4, Near Post Office, Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sub Divisional Officer Sub Division, PSPCL, Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Engineer, Distribution,

West Zone, Thermal Colony, PSPCL, Bathinda.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.1510 of 2022

PRESENT :(i)Shri Verma Nand, Appellant, in person.(ii)Shri Mndeep Singh, SDO/PIO and Shri Kirandeep Singh, Revenue Accountant,
Sub Division, PSPCL, Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda, in person.

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.07.2022 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Verma Nand, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Shri Mandeep Singh, SDO/PIO and Shri Kirandeep Singh, Revenue Accountant, Sub Division, PSPCL, Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. Shri Mandeep Singh, SDO states that the appellant has been supplied information as stated during the previous hearing. However, the appellant had stated during the previous hearing that he has not been informed as to whether for releasing the electricity connection in the name of Gopal Krishan, his consent was obtained or not. This information has been provided to him vide letter dated 02.08.2022.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits receipt of information. However, he states that his grievance still exists as the electricity connection provided illegally in the premises in his ownership without his consent has to be disconnected by the PSPCL official which has not been done.





-2-

Appeal Case No.1510 of 2022

5. The Bench after hearing the version of the appellant and PIO, is convinced that adequate information has been supplied to the appellant.

6. The Bench observes that with this grievance, the appellant has already approached the Chief Engineer, West Zone, PSPCL Bathinda, but still the same remains unaddressed. Since the grievance of appellant seems to be genuine, the Bench directs the Chief Engineer, West Zone, Bathinda to look into the grievance of the appellant and resolve the same.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab